DrinkerBiddle

Client Alert June 28, 2012

U.S. Supreme Court Upholds Affordable Care Act

The U.S. Supreme Court has released its much-anticipated decision on the constitutionality of various provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA).

In the two major issues before the Court, it upheld the so-called individual mandate as a legitimate constitutional exercise of Congress' taxing authority. The Court also upheld the ACA's requirement for states to expand eligibility under their Medicaid programs, but struck down the provision that could have required non-complying states to forfeit 100 percent of the their Medicaid funding. The text of the Supreme Court's decision, released

Upcoming Event

Please join us Thursday, July 12, at noon Eastern for a webinar and in-person seminar in our Washington, D.C., and Chicago offices. We will discuss what the Supreme Court's decision means for various health care stakeholders.

Click here to register.

June 28, is available at: http://www.drinkerbiddle.com/Templates/media/ files/Top%20Trends/Health%20Care%20Reform/SCOTUS%20ACA.pdf.

Individual Mandate Upheld

The ACA provides that beginning in 2014 virtually all Americans must maintain health insurance coverage (e.g., "individual mandate"). The government argued the Commerce Clause of the Constitution – which addresses the power to regulate interstate economic activity – gives it the authority to regulate the purchase of health insurance. Under this theory

because everyone will use health care at some point in their lives, Congress can require people to buy insurance to limit the costs imposed by the uninsured on other people in the market. Those bringing the suit argued that the individual mandate exceeds Congress' authority, which does not extend to the regulation of inactivitiy (e.g., the failure to purchase health insurance).

The Court ruled that the Commerce Clause does not grant the Federal Government the authority to require citizens to purchase health insurance. However, the Court also found that the individual mandate is a constitutional exercise of Congress' taxing authority, because the penalty for those not purchasing insurance is essentially a tax.

Although the mandate remains in force, many remain skeptical of its ability to encourage people to purchase insurance, given the relatively small payment required should individuals fail to purchase insurance, and that failure to pay the tax has no criminal or civil implications. Thus, for many people, it may still be cheaper to pay the tax than to go out and purchase insurance. Moreover, given that the other coverage expansion provisions, such as restrictions on annual policy limits and prohibition of pre-existing conditions were also upheld by the Court, many people may be incentivized to pay the tax and delay purchasing insurance until they become seriously ill.

Medicaid Expansion Limited

Earlier this Spring, the Court heard arguments on whether the ACA's expansion of Medicaid to benefit nearly all people under the age of 65 whose household income is at or below 133 percent of poverty is constitutional. The government then argued that the Spending Clause of the Constitution gives Congress the right to attach conditions to states who choose to receive federal funds. The 26 state plaintiffs countered the Medicaid expansion provisions were coercive because if the states do not expand Medicaid coverage, the federal government could take away all their existing Medicaid funding. Today, the Court let stand the ACA's requirement for states to expand eligibility under their Medicaid programs, but invalidated the provision that would have forced states that did not comply potentially to forfeit all of their Medicaid funding. The Court stated that any forfeiture of a state's Medicaid funds must be limited only to those related to the expansion requirement.

The decision leaves open the question of how the Federal government can require states to implement the Medicaid expansion requirement. The Federal government may need to offer states additional financial incentives, or find some other means to ensure their cooperation.

Severability

The Affordable Care Act does not contain a severability clause, which Congress can insert into legislation at the time of drafting to clarify what parts of the bill can stand separate from other parts of the bill, should any particular provision be invalidated. In its briefs, the government argued that if the Court were to strike down the individual mandate, only the guaranteed-issue and community rating provisions of the law should be invalidated; all other provisions of the Act could be severed (or separated out) and survive. Those bringing suit argued that the ACA's requirements were so intertwined that if the individual mandate were found to be unconstitutional, the entire Act must be invalidated.

Because the Court upheld the individual mandate requirement, it found no need to decide whether the other provisions of the ACA would need to be severed from the law. Thus, the additional provisions of the ACA, such as those applicable to Medicare (e.g., closing of the Medicare Part D coverage gap) and certain taxes on medical devices and pharmaceutical manufacturers, were upheld by the Court's decision.

Anti-Injunction Act

The Anti-Injunction Act prevents lawsuits challenging the imposition of a tax from proceeding until the tax is actually assessed. Under the ACA, virtually all Americans who do not maintain some form of health insurance coverage will be assessed a penalty imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. The issue before the Court was whether this penalty constituted a tax, and if so, whether the Anti-Injunction Act precluded the Court from ruling on the constitutionality of the ACA until the tax was imposed – which would not be until people file tax returns in April 2015.

Client Alert June 28, 2012

On this issue the Court held the Anti-Injunction Act did not apply (not withstanding its later determinations that the individual mandate imposed a tax) and thus could rule on the remaining constitutional questions.

Questions? Contact your Drinker Biddle contact or another individual listed below.

Lobbying & Advocacy Team

Iulie Scott Allen **Government Relations** Director (202) 230-5126 Julie.Allen@dbr.com

Jodie A. Curtis Senior Government Relations Director (202) 230-5147 Jodie.Curtis@dbr.com

Hilary M. Hansen Senior Government **Relations Manager** (202) 230-5103 Hilary.Hansen@dbr.com

Anna Schwamlein Howard Medicare Reimbursement and Health Policy Director

(202) 230-5681 Anna.Howard@dbr.com Rebecca Freedman McGrath Jeremy R. Scott Government Relations Director (202) 230-5679 Rebecca.McGrath@dbr.com

Erin Will Morton Senior Government Relations Manager (202) 230-5634 Erin.WillMorton@dbr.com

Ilisa Halpern Paul Managing Government Relations Director (202) 230-5145 Ilisa.Paul@dbr.com

Edwin R. Redfern **Government Relations** Director (202) 230-5151 Edwin.Redfern@dbr.com

Government Relations Director (202) 230-5197 Jeremy.Scott@dbr.com

James W. Twaddell, IV **Government Relations** Director (202) 230-5130 James.Twaddell@dbr.com

Health Care Practice Group

Matthew P. Amodeo (518) 862-7468 Matthew.Amodeo@dbr.com

Christopher B. Anderson (312) 569-1257 Christopher.Anderson@dbr.com

Keith R. Anderson (312) 569-1278 Keith.Anderson@dbr.com

James A. Barker (202) 230-5166 James.Barker@dbr.com

Elizabeth D. Battreall (202) 230-5156 Elizabeth.battreall@dbr.com

Eric M. Berman (414) 221-6080 Eric.Berman@dbr.com

Jennifer R. Breuer (312) 569-1256 Jennifer.Breuer@dbr.com

Eileen M. Considine (518) 862-7462 Eileen.Considine@dbr.com

Stanley W. Crosley (317) 770-7399 Stanley.Crosley@dbr.com

John J. D'Andrea (518) 862-7463 John.Dandrea@dbr.com

Jeffrey T. Ganiban (202) 230-5150 Jeffrey.Ganiban@dbr.com Stephanie Dodge Gournis (312) 569-1327 Stephanie.DodgeGournis@dbr.com

Jeanna Palmer Gunville (312) 569-1261 Jeanna.Gunville@dbr.com

Kelley Taylor Hearne (202) 230-5127 Kelley.Hearne@dbr.com

Kristy M. Hlavenka (973) 549-7115 Kristy.Hlavenka@dbr.com

Todd D. Johnston (609) 716-6674 Todd.Johnston@dbr.com

George H. Kendall (973) 549-7070 George.Kendall@dbr.com

Robert W. McCann (202) 230-5149 Robert.McCann@dbr.com

Gerald P. McCartin (202) 230-5113 Gerald.McCartin@dbr.com

Mark D. Nelson (312) 569-1326 Mark.Nelson@dbr.com

Neil S. Olderman (312) 569-1279 Neil.Olderman@dbr.com

Kenneth C. Robbins (312) 569-1271 Kenneth.Robbins@dbr.com Julie M. Rusczek (414) 221-6060 Julie.Rusczek@dbr.com

Rachel Ryan (518) 862-7475 Rachel.Ryan@dbr.com

Sara H. Shanti (312) 569-1258 Sara.Shanti@dbr.com

Robyn S. Shapiro (414) 221-6056 Robyn.Shapiro@dbr.com

K. Bruce Stickler (312) 569-1325 Bruce.Stickler@dbr.com

T.J. Sullivan (202) 230-5157 TJ.Sullivan@dbr.com

Douglas B. Swill (312) 569-1270 Douglas.Swill@dbr.com

Leslie M. Tector (414) 221-6055 Leslie.Tector@dbr.com

Jesse A. Witten (202) 230-5146 Jesse.Witten@dbr.com

Fatema Zanzi (312) 569-1285 Fatema.Zanzi@dbr.com

Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation Practice Group

Heather B. Abrigo (310) 203-4054 Heather.Abrigo@dbr.com

Kathleen O'Connor Adams (312) 569-1306 Kathleen.Adams@dbr.com

Gary D. Ammon (215) 988-2981 Gary.Ammon@dbr.com

Bruce L. Ashton (310) 203-4048 Bruce.Ashton@dbr.com

Pascal Benyamini (310) 203-4050 Pascal.Benyamini@dbr.com

Mark M. Brown (215) 988-2768 Mark.Brown@dbr.com

Bradford P. Campbell (202) 230-5159 Bradford.Campbell@dbr.com

Summer Conley (310) 203-4055 Summer.Conley@dbr.com

Barbara A. Cronin (312) 569-1297 Barbara.Cronin@dbr.com

Joseph C. Faucher (310) 203-4052 Joe.Faucher@dbr.com

Mona Ghude (215) 988-1165 Mona.Ghude@dbr.com Lindsay M. Goodman (312) 569-1314 Lindsay.Goodman@dbr.com

Megan Glunz Horton (312) 569-1322 Megan.Horton@dbr.com

Robert L. Jensen (215) 988-2644 Robert.Jensen@dbr.com

Melissa R. Junge (312) 569-1309 Melissa.Junge@dbr.com

Sharon L. Klingelsmith (215) 988-2661 Sharon.Klingelsmith@dbr.com Lori.Shannon@dbr.com

Christine M. Kong (212) 248-3152 Christine.Kong@dbr.com

David Levin (202) 230-5181 David.Levin@dbr.com

Howard J. Levine (312) 569-1304 Howard.Levine@dbr.com

Sarah Bassler Millar (312) 569-1295 Sarah.Millar@dbr.com

Ioan M. Neri (973) 549-7393 Joan.Neri@dbr.com

Monica A. Novak (312) 569-1298 Monica.Novak@dbr.com

Cristin M. Obsitnik (312) 569-1303 Cristin.Obsitnik@dbr.com

Fred Reish (310) 203-4047 Fred.Reish@dbr.com

Michael D. Rosenbaum (312) 569-1308 Michael.Rosenbaum@dbr.com

Dawn E. Sellstrom (312) 569-1324 Dawn.Sellstrom@dbr.com

Lori L. Shannon (312) 569-1311

Ryan C. Tzeng (310) 203-4056 Ryan.Tzeng@dbr.com

Michael A. Vanic (310) 203-4049 Mike.Vanic@dbr.com

Joshua J. Waldbeser (312) 569-1317 Joshua.Waldbeser@dbr.com

David L. Wolfe (312) 569-1313 David.Wolfe@dbr.com

Other Publications



www.drinkerbiddle.com/publications

Sign Up



www.drinkerbiddle.com/publications/signup

© 2012 Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP. All rights reserved. A Delaware limited liability partnership

Jonathan I. Epstein and Andrew B. Joseph, Partners in Charge of the Princeton and Florham Park, N.J., offices, respectively. This Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP communication

Ins Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP Communication is instended to inform our clients and friends of developments in the law and to provide information of general interest. It is not intended to constitute advice regarding any client's legal problems and should not be relied upon as such.

DrinkerBiddle

Health Care Practice Group

CALIFORNIA | DELAWARE | ILLINOIS | NEW JERSEY NEW YORK | PENNSYLVANIA | WASHINGTON DC | WISCONSIN