THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 1, Number 5

September-October 2024

Editor's Note: Trade Tools

Victoria Prussen Spears

A New European Trade Tool: The First Investigation Under the International Procurement Instrument

James Killick and Jia Liu

Making EU Courts More Efficient for International Trade-Related Decisions
Vassilis Akritidis and Oleksii Yuzko

U.S., EU, and UK Strengthen Sanctions Laws

David R. Johnson, Louise Woods, Randall V. Johnston, Elizabeth Krabill McIntyre, Elena Guillet, and Alexander Sprenger

U.S. Protectionism in Health Data Flows

John L. Evans, Reed Abrahamson, and Peter A. Blenkinsop

National Security Program Seeks to Limit Access by "Countries of Concern" to Certain Bulk Sensitive Personal Data and U.S. Government-Related Data Shawn Cooley, Nathan Cunningham, and Christina Carone

Trade Rules Are Playing a Larger Role for Manufacturers of Trucks and Truck Parts
David R. Hamill, Birgit Matthiesen, and Antonio J. Rivera

Agencies Caution Foreign-Based Persons on Extraterritorial Reach of U.S. Sanctions and Export Control Regulations

Neena Shenai, Zachary Goldman, Barry J. Hurewitz, Jason C. Chipman, Richard Burger, Michael Dawson, Aaron M. Zebley, Ronald I. Meltzer, and Monika R. Weisman

U.S. Significantly Increases Tariffs on Chinese Solar Cells, Batteries, Electric Vehicles, and Other Goods

Brad Thompson, Geoffrey M. Goodale, Thomas R. Schmuhl, Hope P. Krebs, and W. Patrick Dinnin

Treasury Proposes a "Sharper Scalpel" for CFIUS Enforcement

L. Rush Atkinson, Jessica S. Carey, John P. Carlin, Roberto J. Gonzalez, Peter Carey, Richard S. Elliott, Samuel Kleiner, and Nathan Mitchell

Aid Package for Ukraine, Israel, and the Indo-Pacific Incorporates Extensive Sanctions and Export Control Reforms

Francesca M.S. Guerrero, Samir D. Varma, Aaron C. Mandelbaum, and Scott E. Diamond

Three Takeaways from Recent Regulatory Actions Implementing AUKUS

Anthony Rapa, George T. Boggs, Justin A. Chiarodo, and Dimitri DeChurch-Silva

U.S. Restricts Exports to Nicaragua, Citing Human Rights Abuses Lori E. Scheetz, John R. Shane and Patrick Griffo



THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 1, No. 5

September-October 2024

309 Editor's Note: Trade Too	sاد
------------------------------	-----

Victoria Prussen Spears

313 A New European Trade Tool: The First Investigation Under the International Procurement Instrument

James Killick and Jia Liu

317 Making EU Courts More Efficient for International Trade-Related Decisions

Vassilis Akritidis and Oleksii Yuzko

323 U.S., EU, and UK Strengthen Sanctions Laws

David R. Johnson, Louise Woods, Randall V. Johnston, Elizabeth Krabill McIntyre, Elena Guillet, and Alexander Sprenger

329 U.S. Protectionism in Health Data Flows

John L. Evans, Reed Abrahamson, and Peter A. Blenkinsop

333 National Security Program Seeks to Limit Access by "Countries of Concern" to Certain Bulk Sensitive Personal Data and U.S. Government-Related Data

Shawn Cooley, Nathan Cunningham, and Christina Carone

347 Trade Rules Are Playing a Larger Role for Manufacturers of Trucks and Truck Parts

David R. Hamill, Birgit Matthiesen, and Antonio J. Rivera

353 Agencies Caution Foreign-Based Persons on Extraterritorial Reach of U.S. Sanctions and Export Control Regulations

Neena Shenai, Zachary Goldman, Barry J. Hurewitz, Jason C. Chipman, Richard Burger, Michael Dawson, Aaron M. Zebley, Ronald I. Meltzer, and Monika R. Weisman

361 U.S. Significantly Increases Tariffs on Chinese Solar Cells, Batteries, Electric Vehicles, and Other Goods

Brad Thompson, Geoffrey M. Goodale, Thomas R. Schmuhl, Hope P. Krebs, and W. Patrick Dinnin

- 365 Treasury Proposes a "Sharper Scalpel" for CFIUS Enforcement
 L. Rush Atkinson, Jessica S. Carey, John P. Carlin, Roberto J. Gonzalez,
 Peter Carey, Richard S. Elliott, Samuel Kleiner, and Nathan Mitchell
- 371 Aid Package for Ukraine, Israel, and the Indo-Pacific Incorporates Extensive Sanctions and Export Control Reforms
 Francesca M.S. Guerrero, Samir D. Varma, Aaron C. Mandelbaum, and Scott E. Diamond
- 379 Three Takeaways from Recent Regulatory Actions Implementing AUKUS

Anthony Rapa, George T. Boggs, Justin A. Chiarodo, and Dimitri DeChurch-Silva

U.S. Restricts Exports to Nicaragua, Citing Human Rights AbusesLori E. Scheetz, John R. Shane and Patrick Griffo

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jen Fernandez

Partner Sidley Austin LLP

Robert A. Friedman

Partner Holland & Knight LLP

Geoffrey M. Goodale

Partner Duane Morris LLP

Renée Latour

Partner Clifford Chance

Britt Mosman

Partner Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

Anthony Rapa

Partner Blank Rome LLP

Brooke M. Ringel

Partner Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

Samir D. Varma

Partner Thompson Hine LLP

Timothy C. Welch

Partner Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL (ISSN 2995-1089) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2024 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover features a 1855 depiction of the American clipper ship *Red Jacket* on her journey from Melbourne, Australia, to Liverpool, England. The artwork was originally created by Charles Parsons and Joseph B. Smith, and later lithographed and published by Nathaniel Currier. It is reproduced courtesy of The Met Museum's public domain library.

Cite this publication as:

The Global Trade Law Journal (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2024 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to international attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and others interested in global trade law.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at 202.999.4777

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2995-1089

U.S. Protectionism in Health Data Flows

John L. Evans, Reed Abrahamson, and Peter A. Blenkinsop*

In this article, the authors discuss steps that governments in the United States are taking to limit the flow of American's protected health information to foreign governments.

As privacy laws continue to proliferate in the United States and globally, there is one trend that companies, especially those operating in the health and pharmaceutical sectors, can no longer overlook. This is the trend toward data protectionism at the state and federal levels.

The localization trend arguably began in 2007, with a memorandum by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The memorandum, Sponsor Activities Performed Outside of the United States (Offshore Subcontracting), requires Medicare Advantage Organizations and prescription drug plan sponsors to make attestations regarding how these organizations share protected health information (PHI) with contractors located outside of the United States. Specifically, the memo requires sponsors to attest to the types of PHI that may be shared with offshore subcontractors as well as the contractual safeguards and auditing procedures that are in place to protect such data.

The Offshore Subcontracting memo was an attempt to address what CMS viewed as the "unique risks" associated with the flow of Americans' PHI to other jurisdictions—namely, that foreign governments could access American health data for nefarious purposes. Since the memo was adopted, companies throughout the health care space have incorporated the required attestation in their data sharing agreements or have restricted the flow of certain types of data outside of the United States altogether.

Governing Data Flows

Today, concern about the extent to which foreign governments can access American health data is once again driving change in the law governing data flows. But this time, the action is at the state and federal levels. Montana recently enacted its Genetic Information Privacy Act (MT GINA),¹ which creates a broad set of protections for genetic information and biological samples. Critically, MT GINA prohibits companies from storing genetic data and biometric samples in any country that has been sanctioned by the Office of Foreign Asset Control (OFAC) or designated a foreign adversary under 15 C.F.R. § 7.4(a).

The state of Florida also adopted legislation that restricts health data flows, but with a much larger scope. Indeed, Florida's S.B. 264² requires "health care providers" under Florida law to store "patient information" in the continental United States or Canada.³ The Florida law also provides that any application for a health care license (or the renewal of such a license) under Florida law must include an attestation of compliance with the above. This requirement can be expected to hasten health care providers' review and removal of patient information from foreign servers, given that obtaining or renewing a license is conditional upon doing so. As we saw with the 2007 guidance from CMS, privacy professionals can expect data-sharing agreements to include special prohibitions on the offshore storage of Florida patient information.

The recently issued Biden administration executive order⁴ aimed at curbing "countries of concern" from accessing sensitive data, including American health data, does not regulate where data can be stored, unlike the Florida and Montana laws. Rather, it prohibits certain transactions in which sensitive data could be shared with "countries of concern."

In Summary

- Montana recently enacted its Genetic Information Privacy Act (MT GINA), which prohibits companies from storing genetic data and biometric samples in any country that has been sanctioned by OFAC or designated a foreign adversary under 15 C.F.R. § 7.4(a).
- Florida's S.B. 264 requires "health care providers" under Florida law to store "patient information" in the continental U.S. or Canada, and provides that any health care license application or renewal under Florida law must include an attestation of compliance.

• The Biden administration recently promulgated an executive order aimed at curbing "countries of concern" from accessing sensitive data, including American health data. It prohibits certain transactions in which sensitive data could be shared with "countries of concern."

Conclusion

Recent developments at the state and federal levels reflect a growing concern about the extent to which foreign governments can access American health data. These developments include data localization requirements in Florida and Montana, several enforcement actions brought by the FTC, and a recently finalized executive order from the Biden administration. Companies operating in the health care space need to act now to ensure that appropriate contractual and technical safeguards are in place to adequately protect American health data.

As of writing, there are six countries that are designated "foreign adversaries": China, Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Russia, and the Maduro Regime of Venezuela.⁵ There are an additional 17 countries under sanction by OFAC.⁶

Notes

- * The authors, attorneys with Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, may be contacted at john.evans@faegredrinker.com, reed.abrahamson@faegredrinker.com, and peter.blenkinsop@faegredrinker.com, respectively.
 - 1. https://leg.mt.gov/bills/2023/billpdf/SB0351.pdf.
 - 2. https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2023/264.
 - 3. Fla. Stat. Ann. § 408.051(3).
- 4. https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2024/02/28/executive-order-on-preventing-access-to-americans-bulk-sensitive-personal-data-and-united-states-government-related-data-by-countries-of-concern/.
 - 5. 15 C.F.R. § 7.4(a).
- 6. See Office of Foreign Assets Control, Sanctions Programs and Country Information, OFAC.treasury.gov, https://ofac.treasury.gov/sanctions-programs-and-country-information.